

# Probability of Default implied Rating (PDiR2.0) White Paper

The Credit Research Initiative (CRI) National University of Singapore

First version: April 13, 2020; current version: August 14, 2020



# ABSTRACT

First introduced by the Credit Research Initiative (CRI) in 2011, the Probability of Default Implied Rating (PDiR) complements the CRI one-year Probability of Default (PD) by providing a convenient and intuitive overview on the credit quality of a firm through mapping the CRI PD to letter grades used by major rating agencies. The PDiR2.0 methodology has been developed to enhance the PD mapping by targeting the average realized credit rating migration experienced by the S&P or Moody's global corporate rating pool instead of relying solely on the reported default rates of the pool. This white paper seeks to provide a more intuitive explanation of the **PDiR2.0** by a methodological walkthrough complemented with an illustrative example. Users are referred to the scientific paper, "Enhanced PD-implied Ratings by Targeting the Credit Rating Migration Matrix" by Duan and Li (2020a), for technical details.

### CONTENT

II. THE MAPPING TABLE3III. METHODOLOGY4IV. AN ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE8V. CONCLUSION9VI. REFERENCES9ABOUT THE CREDIT RESEARCH INITIATIVE11	1.	OVERVIEW	2
III. METHODOLOGY	П.	THE MAPPING TABLE	3
IV. AN ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE	III.	METHODOLOGY	4
V. CONCLUSION	IV.	AN ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE	8
VI. REFERENCES	V.	CONCLUSION	9
ABOUT THE CREDIT RESEARCH INITIATIVE	VI.	REFERENCES	9
	AB	OUT THE CREDIT RESEARCH INITIATIVE	

<sup>\*</sup> Please cite this document in the following way: "The Credit Research Initiative of the National University of Singapore (2020), Probability of Default implied Rating (PDiR2.0) White Paper", Accessible via the following link: https://www.rmicri.org/en/white paper/.



# I. OVERVIEW

Credit ratings in the form of alphabetical letter-grades are intended to give users a convenient and intuitive overview of an obligor's creditworthiness. The CRI Probability of Default Implied Rating (PDiR) was first introduced in 2011 to complement the high-granularity CRI Probability of Default (CRI PD) by assigning a letter-grade to each firm according to a systematic mapping of one-year PD based on observed historical default rates from the Standard & Poor's (S&P) credit ratings.

The methodology was then revised (referred to as PDiR<sub>old</sub>) and implemented on December 15, 2017 to provide a better match between expected default rates predicted by the CRI PD and the average historical default rates of the S&P or Moody's global corporate rating pool.<sup>1</sup> Due to the lack of realized defaults for AAA and AA+ for the S&P rating pool, for example, proxy values from a linear extrapolation for these two rating cohorts are adopted. These extrapolated values are arguably arbitrary because letter ratings are ordinal rankings without a suitable cardinal interpretation. Moreover, a recent effort to tally the proportion of the firms in the CRI sample falling into each of the rating categories suggests that there have been too many firms in the AAA category as compared to the experience of the S&P or Moody's global corporate rating pool. These two considerations have led to the revision effort to roll out PDiR2.0.

PDiR2.0 developed in Duan and Li (2020a) targets the historical credit rating migration experience of the S&P or Moody's global corporate rating pool instead of relying solely on the reported default rates of the pool. PDiR2.0 has been implemented by the CRI team starting April 13, 2020. The PD cutoff values defining different rating categories will be updated occasionally to incorporate significant changes in the corporate credit markets.

#### Coverage

The CRI provides PDiR2.0 for every public firm under its PD coverage. Correspondingly, this means PDiR2.0 covers the same sample as the CRI PD, on over 70,000 exchange-listed firms in 133 economies around the world over roughly a 30-year period. PDiR2.0 are also updated daily for over 37,000 currently active exchange-listed firms in the CRI database.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Duan and Li (2020b) provides a scientific foundation for the PDiR<sub>old</sub> methodology. An easier-tofollow description can be found in Probability of Default implied Rating White Paper (2020), the Credit Research Initiative of the National University of Singapore, accessible via the following link: <u>https://www.rmicri.org/en/white\_paper/.</u>



# II. THE MAPPING TABLE

Table 1 presents the CRI PDiR2.0 mapping table as of April 13, 2020, calibrated to the realized credit rating migration history of the S&P global corporate rating pool over 18-year period (2000-2017). The table is taken from Duan and Li (2020a).

Rating	Initial Assignment		Upgrade To		Downgrade To	
Category	lb (bps)	ub (bps)	lb (bps)	ub (bps)	lb (bps)	ub (bps)
AAA	0	0.0035	0	0.0027	-	-
AA+	0.0035	0.1044	0.0027	0.0035	0.1044	0.3060
AA	0.1044	0.3060	0.0035	0.1044	0.3060	0.4069
AA-	0.3060	0.4069	0.1044	0.3060	0.4069	1.2928
A+	0.4069	1.2928	0.3060	0.4069	1.2928	3.0646
Α	1.2928	3.0646	0.4069	1.2928	3.0646	3.9506
<b>A-</b>	3.0646	3.9506	1.2928	3.0646	3.9506	9.9936
BBB+	3.9506	9.9936	3.0646	3.9506	9.9936	22.0796
BBB	9.9936	22.0796	3.9506	9.9936	22.0796	28.1227
BBB-	22.0796	28.1227	9.9936	22.0796	28.1227	46.2056
BB+	28.1227	46.2056	22.0796	28.1227	46.2056	82.3715
BB	46.2056	82.3715	28.1227	46.2056	82.3715	100.4544
BB-	82.3715	100.4544	46.2056	82.3715	100.4544	357.0556
B+	100.4544	357.0556	82.3715	100.4544	357.0556	870.2578
В	357.0556	870.2578	100.4544	357.0556	870.2578	1126.8589
В-	870.2578	1126.8589	357.0556	870.2578	1126.8589	1630.8764
CCC+	1126.8589	1630.8764	870.2578	1126.8589	1630.8764	2638.9113
CCC	1630.8764	2638.9113	1126.8589	1630.8764	2638.9113	3142.9287
CCC-	2638.9113	3142.9287	1630.8764	2638.9113	3142.9287	4449.8571
СС	3142.9287	8370.6423	2638.9113	7063.7139	4449.8571	8777.9817
С	8370.6423	10000	-	-	8777.9817	10000

Table 1. Mapping 10-day moving average 1-year CRI PD to the S&P experience

A similar mapping table calibrated to Moody's global rating pool over 19 years (2000-2018) is provided at the end of this paper (Table 3).



## Rating Assignment Using the Mapping Table

- Apply 10-business day moving average PDs to map against the cutoff values. The use of moving average PDs helps minimize frequent rating changes due to oscillation across a boundary.
- Initial Assignment: Obtain initial ratings for any firms by mapping the moving average against the upper and lower bounds in the first 2 columns of Table 1.
- **Upgrade/Downgrade**: The upper and lower bounds for upgrade/downgrade to a specific cohort are defined in the last 4 columns. The design with migration buffer zones creates latency in rating changes, intending to mimic the commercial credit rating practice. For example, a firm is upgraded from A+ to AA only if its moving average PD is smaller than AA's lower bound defined in the initial assignment.

## **III. METHODOLOGY**

Mapping the CRI one-year PD to its corresponding PDiR2.0 requires one to define the (upper or lower) bounds for all rating cohorts. With these PD cutoff values, one can track rating migrations from the beginning to the end for each one-year period for the firms in the CRI sample. We then find the cutoff values that best match the one-year realized credit migration rates of the CRI sample with the historical average migration rates of a rating pool. For the remainder of this paper, we use the S&P global corporate rating pool to describe the methodology and the results.

In the following, we briefly sketch the PDiR2.0 methodology developed in Duan and Li (2020a).

### The Observed Rating Migration Matrix

We obtain the S&P average realized one-year migration matrix over the 18-year sample period (from 2000 to 2017). Our data source is European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA)'s central repository (CEREP).



We consolidate 21 rating categories inclusive of those with a plus/minus modifier into 9 combined categories in a natural way, i.e., putting those with a modifier into the same category as those without.

A firm with a rating at the beginning of a period may disappear at the end of the period for at least two competing reasons. One reason is bankruptcy/default for which both the CRI and S&P track. The other form of exit is a withdrawal from rating service provided by S&P, which can be due to many reasons. However, it is reasonable to conjecture that a lowly rated firm, say CC, likely choose to forgo credit rating simply because it makes little sense to pay for a credit rating that explicitly reveals its poor credit quality. The CRI sample, on the other hand, faces the other form of exit due to de-listings, which primarily arise from merger/acquisitions. Substantially different other-exit rates facing S&P and CRI are therefore expected and adjustments are needed to ensure sensible outcomes. Thus, we gross up each row of the migration matrix with the one minus other-exit rate unique to each rating cohort so that each row of the adjusted migration matrix has a row sum equal to 1. The grossed-up rating migration matrix is 9 by 10 with the last column holding the default rates corresponding to the 9 rating cohorts.

We tally the average realized migration rates for the S&P pool over the 18-year sample period by first summing up the 18-year migration matrices which records the total number of transitions to the 10 columns mentioned above, then divide each entry by its row sum, which is equivalent to grossing up different rows by their respective S&P withdrawal rates. Denote our target, the grossed-up average realized rating migration matrix, by  $\widehat{M}$ .

#### The Model's Implied Migration Matrix

The upper PD bound for a rating category simultaneously serves as the lower bound of the adjacent category of less credit quality. Therefore, the 8 cutoff values along with two natural PD bounds of 0 and 1 define the 9 consolidated rating categories. These 8 PD upper bounds are denoted by  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (U_{AAA}, U_{AA}, U_A, U_{BBB}, U_{BB}, U_B, U_{CCC}, U_{CC})$ , and they must be increasing in values. We also need to define cutoff values for ratings with plus and minus modifiers, and the 21 finer rating categories in turn help set migration buffer zones to generate rating stickiness exhibited by commercial credit ratings. Without these buffer zones, ratings are likely to flip back forth for firms with their PDs close to a boundary value.

Each of the PD segment (a lower bound to an upper bound is equally divided into four subsegments. The top (bottom) 25% subsegment is reserved for the rating with a minus (plus) modifier. Initial rating assignments are based on the 21 categories so defined. Migration to a rating category only occurs if its 10-day moving average PD crosses beyond a complete finer rating category; that is, an A obligor is downgraded to BBB+ only if its PD moves into the interval defined by BBB. Likewise, to upgrade a BBB+ obligor to A, its PD must move into the interval defined by A+. Category AAA, CC and C do not carry a rating modifier, but they still need buffer zones for migration assignments. To upgrade a firm to AAA (or CC), the 10-day moving average PD must be lower than the PD level corresponding to 75% of the AAA (or CC) interval. To downgrade a firm to CC (or C), the same logic applies, but is instead at the 25% level of the relevant segment.

After assigning firms into the 21 finer rating categories for the CRI sample over the 18year sample period, we group all firms into the 9 consolidated rating categories, tally the results, and gross up by the other-exit rates to generate the model's implied 9 by 10 average realized migration matrix. Denote the model's final implied rating migration matrix by  $M(\theta)$ .

#### The Calibration Objective

The PDiR2.0 model calibration is to find  $\theta$  to minimize the sum of squared differences between two rating migration matrices, i.e.,  $M(\theta)$  and  $\hat{M}$ . However, we include only three components in the calibration target, and they are the diagonal, two immediate offdiagonal terms (one in each direction) and the 10<sup>th</sup> column holding default rates. Other elements of the 9 by 10 matrix are ignored because their values are small and prone to sampling errors. In addition to the constraint of increasing values placed on the elements of  $\theta$ , we require the proportion of AAA firms in the CRI sample to be no less than 1.5%, a level comparable to that of the S&P global corporate rating pool. Without it, we would have substantially fewer AAA firms.

The minimization is executed by adopting the density-tempered sequential Monte Carlo (SMC) technique of Duan and Fulop (2015), which also served as the optimizer for the PDiR<sub>old</sub> method. The optimization algorithm is detailed in Duan and Li (2020a).



#### Results

We take the default column out of the migration matrix (which is grossed-up by exit rates) to do a comparison between the rating agencies and PDiR2.0's realized default rates. The comparison indicates that the realized default rates from the rating agencies and PDiR2.0 are reasonably close. Furthermore, there are noticeable differences between S&P and Moody's realized default rates, which therefore resulted in different PDiR2.0 boundary values.

	S&P reported	PDiR2.0 realized		Moody's reported	PDiR2.0 realized	
AAA	0.0000%	0.0552%	Aaa	0.0000%	0.0203%	
AA	0.0147%	0.0282%	Aa	0.0490%	0.0278%	
Α	0.0516%	0.0401%	Α	0.0860%	0.0388%	
BBB	0.1676%	0.1324%	Ваа	0.1972%	0.1274%	
BB	0.7479%	0.7965%	Ва	0.6375%	0.4679%	
В	3.7477%	4.6090%	В	2.9904%	1.9009%	
ССС	27.6436%	26.8206%	Саа	11.5902%	5.9122%	
СС	50.5455%	56.5957%	Са	27.3585%	17.2645%	
С	50.0000%	66.6667%	С	29.6875%	37.0262%	

#### Table 2. Mapping of Default Rates (Grossed-up by Exit Rates)



# **IV. AN ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE**

We use Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. for an illustration of PDiR2.0 vis-à-vis PDiR<sub>old</sub>. Lehman Brothers filed for a US Chapter 11 bankruptcy in September 2008, setting off the global financial crisis. The commercial credit ratings on Lehman Brothers virtually stayed unchanged in the three years leading to its bankruptcy, which is evident in Figure 1 where the S&P rating started at A+ and was downgraded to A in mid-2008, just a couple of months prior to its spectacular failing.

The figure reveals that both PDiR2.0 and PDiR<sub>old</sub> ratings are much more responsive to Lehman Brothers' deteriorating credit quality than that of the S&P credit rating. PDiR2.0 exhibits more rating stickiness, reflecting a design feature. PDiR2.0 also assigns better ratings as compared to PDiR<sub>old</sub>. An early warning signal from PDiR2.0 or PDiR<sub>old</sub> was evident in Figure 1 where it shows that the rating started below the investment grade and worsened by the month. This early warning feature is rooted in the CRI PD which is capable of quickly reacting to deteriorating credit quality.







# **V. CONCLUSION**

The PDiR2.0 complements the CRI PD by providing a quick and intuitive overview on the credit quality of firms through referencing a rating agency's rating scale and its rating migration pattern. It is an enhanced version in the sense that the new methodology no longer requires proxy default rates for, say, the S&P AA+ and AAA categories, which are to some extent derived from an arbitrary linear extrapolation. The built-in rating stickiness is also a useful feature because it resembles the practice of credit rating agencies. Furthermore, the resulting overall rating distribution of the CRI sample is closer to that of a referencing global corporate rating pool.

This paper provides in the beginning the mapping table calibrated to the migration experience of the S&P global rating pool over an 18-year period (2000-2017). A similar mapping table corresponding to Moody's over 19 years (2000-2018) is given at the end. The PDiR2.0 methodology is naturally applicable to other rating pools such as that of Fitch.

# VI. REFERENCES

ESMA. The Central Repository (CEREP). Retrieved on 25 March, 2020 from: <u>https://cerep.esma.europa.eu/cerep-web/</u>.

J.C. Duan and A. Fulop, 2015, Density-Tempered Marginalized Sequential Monte Carlo Samplers. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 33(2):192-202.

J.C. Duan and S. Li, 2020a, Enhanced PD-implied Ratings by Targeting the Credit Rating Migration Matrix, NUS-CRI working paper.

J.C. Duan and S. Li, 2020b, PD-implied Ratings via Referencing a Credit Rating/Scoring Pool's Default Experience, *Data Science for Financial Econometrics*, eds. Nguyen Duc Trung, Nguyen Ngoc Thach, Vladik Kreinovich, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.

NUS-CRI Staff, 2018, Probability of Default Implied Rating, National University of Singapore CRI White Paper, <u>https://www.rmicri.org/en/white\_paper/</u>.



Rating	initial assignment		Upgrade to		Downgrade to	
Category	lb (bps)	ub (bps)	lb (bps)	ub (bps)	lb (bps)	ub (bps)
Aaa	0	0.0065	0	0.0049	-	-
Aa1	0.0065	0.0662	0.0049	0.0065	0.0662	0.1860
Aa2	0.0662	0.1860	0.0065	0.0662	0.1860	0.2450
Aa3	0.1860	0.2450	0.0662	0.1860	0.2450	0.8970
A1	0.2450	0.8970	0.1860	0.2450	0.8970	2.2008
A2	0.8970	2.2008	0.2450	0.8970	2.2008	2.8526
A3	2.2008	2.8526	0.8970	2.2008	2.8526	9.2961
Baa1	2.8526	9.2961	2.2008	2.8526	9.2961	22.1830
Baa2	9.2961	22.1830	2.8526	9.2961	22.1830	28.6265
Baa3	22.1830	28.6265	9.2961	22.1830	28.6265	43.3585
Ba1	28.6265	43.3585	22.1830	28.6265	43.3585	72.8224
Ba2	43.3585	72.8224	28.6265	43.3585	72.8224	87.5544
Ba3	72.8224	87.5544	43.3585	72.8224	87.5544	122.7975
B1	87.5544	122.7975	72.8224	87.5544	122.7975	193.2836
B2	122.7975	193.2836	87.5544	122.7975	193.2836	228.5267
B3	193.2836	228.5267	122.7975	193.2836	228.5267	356.9571
Caa1	228.5267	356.9571	193.2836	228.5267	356.9571	613.8180
Caa2	356.9571	613.8180	228.5267	356.9571	613.8180	742.2484
Caa3	613.8180	742.2484	356.9571	613.8180	742.2484	857.5755
Са	742.2484	1203.5566	613.8180	1088.2295	857.5755	3402.6674
С	1203.5566	10000	-	-	3402.6674	10000

Table 3. Mapping 10-day moving average 1-year CRI PDs to Moody's experience



# **ABOUT THE CREDIT RESEARCH INITIATIVE**

The Credit Research Initiative (CRI) was launched by Professor Jin-Chuan Duan in July 2009 at the Risk Management Institute of the National University of Singapore. Aiming at "Transforming Big Data into Smart Data", the CRI covers over 70,000 public firms and produces daily updated Probabilities of Default (1-month to 5-year horizon), Actuarial Spreads (1-year to 5-year contract) and Probability of Default implied Ratings on over 37,000 currently active, exchange-listed firms in 133 economies. The CRI also distributes historical time series of over 30,000 inactive firms due to bankruptcy, corporate consolidation or delisting for other reasons. In addition, the CRI produces and maintains Corporate Vulnerability Indices (CVI), which can be viewed as stress indicators, measuring credit risk in economies, regions and special portfolios.

As a further step, the CRI converts smart data to actionable data to meet the customized demands of its users and offers bespoke credit risk solutions leveraging on its expertise in credit risk analytics. A concrete example is our development of the BuDA (Bottom-up Default Analysis) toolkit in collaboration with the IMF. BuDA is an automated analytic tool based on the CRI PD system, enabling IMF economists to conduct scenarios analyses for the macro-financial linkage.

The CRI publishes Weekly Credit Brief and Quarterly Credit Report, highlighting key creditrelated events, offering insights based on the CRI PD of the entities involved, and providing useful statistics on credit risk of economies and sectors.



#### ©2020 NUS Risk Management Institute (RMI). All Rights Reserved.

The content in this white paper is for information purposes only. This information is, to the best of our knowledge, accurate and reliable as per the date indicated in the paper and NUS Risk Management Institute (RMI) makes no warranty of any kind, express or implied as to its completeness or accuracy. Opinions and estimates constitute our judgment and are subject to change without notice.

NUS Risk Management Institute (RMI) – Credit Research Initiative Address: 21 Heng Mui Keng Terrace, I3 Building, Level 4, Singapore 119613 Tel: (+65) 6516 3380 Fax: (+65) 6874 5430 Email: rmicri@nus.edu.sg Website: <u>http://rmicri.org/</u>

